

(meeting with
H. Sabusa) 16 June 95
Was an invasion
"necessary"

Hiroshima

1) If US "interests" did
not justify the loss of
25-46,000 KIA in
invasion — there should
have been no invasion.
(To indict/deplore
Emperor?)

To avert Aug's

"4 conditions"?

non-aggressors
/ WOULD US HAVE BEEN JUSTIFIED
IN NANKING-TYPE MASSACRE — TO
SHORTEN WAR, "SAVE LIVES"? DIFF?

"Barbarians";
Pengdi Khen;

(S. L. L. L.)
My Kai

Journal

(Civilians? ... slayings of
"refugees")

2) military KIA are
not to be reduced/
averted by threatening
or killing hostages,
non-combatants

[see "Private"
counts of host, prisoner]

Soldiers are not to be
protected by holding
children/civilians hostage or
killing them. [except in
"crossfire"]

I would have referred that.

Soldiers should be
accused of applauding
~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~him~~ ~~were~~
sawed that way — or
of Tokyo, etc, bombing;
[see study on 4-bomb]
[the]

"Necessity" has nothing to
do with it —
[unless, conceivably,
US was about to be
invaded, attacked,
occupied (not likely)]

If I were tortured, would I
(not) butcher

[If I had been
as confront as long as...
Paul Fussell, etc...
who knows what kind of
an asshole I might
have become? Would
PR have "pulled the
trigger" on indefinite
number of hostages? (Did
SS have less reason to
wonder what they were
told about "nat. sec.?"]

If "savior" would I not
be (nearly) glad? But would I
not be ashamed

Would I have ^{not} ~~not~~ rather
died than inflict
prisoning or mess.

Car overthrown
Allude

FC... to save my life?

But A-bomb was
"not necessary" because
of a Japanese willingness to
~~submit~~ as minimal
terms — which ^{followed} included
a part fire-bombing.

Was that "necessary"?
1) to produce those terms?

WHAT OF BLOCKADE? Different
"real" (Prob. yes) but all submit
S.B. ? ^{any} Hoover, 1939
if it worked; but it didn't.

2) to produce "collateral damage" was
"acceptable" then?

3) was it justifiable?

NO

see the

~~to~~ AF general (Hanson?)
that Army upheld!

Reveal what A bomb
does to flesh, bone, etc.
brain, genes, behavior...

How many people die,
or suffer — not just
or "a pleasant way to die"
(see book of "Scary")

A-bombs:

1) a device for killing
large numbers of bombs,
as many as possible,*

quickly, ~~etc~~ at a
(distancing) distance

(solution to SS problem:

thinker: how to remain
secret, + "some...")

2) a detonator for H-bombs.

*This was the work of
USAF from March 9-10 on
(5 months). Would they have
used H-bombs?
10-20 A-bombs?

Under what circumstances
& WWII would A-bomb
of US not have been justified?
[Did it under "just."
or not?

Criticism is not: What
would US public have
wanted? Felt? applauded?
Blamed? [If we hadn't
used Bomb - how justify cost
to Congress?

[What other way was there
to roll-back SU?

What if: to deter further attacks
on US cities ?/ or, Confront...

Hiroshima Nagasaki / My Kai
1000/1

HIBAKUSHA COME TO
US LIKE SURVIVORS OF
M4 L41 - ^{(My Kai - the he}
^{"unintended" - not to}
^{extreme, forgiving.}
What Americans would
tell them it was
justified, necessary?
"But My Kai didn't end
the war." What if it
had?!

Americans have been
fought and encouraged
to ... be proud of, glad of,
justified, ... a massacre,
a My Kai / Nagasaki from the
air [TO DETER NOC ATTACK
OR OCCUPATION? ISRAEL? IF NEC...